

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/870,762	DUFT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645	

All Participants:

(1) S. Devi (USPTO).

(2) Attorney Timothy Torchia.

Date of Interview: 3 November 2010

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicants' amendment filed 08/31/10.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The allowable subject matter and the acceptable claim language were indicated. The need to issue an Examiner's amendment was discussed. Attorney Torchia authorized the Examiner of record to issue an Examiner's amendment to make the suggested changes via presentation of new claims.

/S. Devi, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1645

11-03-2010